

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/924,391	08/07/2001	Tal Givoly	XACTP001	6261
28875	7590 03/31/2004		EXAMINER	
SILICON VALLEY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP			TRAN, PHILIP B	
P.O. BOX 7 SAN JOSE,	CA 95172-1120		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
·			2155	
			DATE MAILED: 03/31/2004	14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

80

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<			
Advisory Action	09/924,391	GIVOLY, TAL	d/			
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Philip B Tran	2155				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 22 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearamentation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	ply to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S	See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate exithe final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	· · ·	eparate, timely filed	d amendment			
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: Ap						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.			_			
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: None.						
Claim(s) objected to: None.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-23</u> .	•					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <i>None</i> .						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:	100	$\overline{\Delta O_{\alpha}}$				
	nuev Ala	IN ALAM				
	SUPERVISORY	PATENT EXAMINE	4			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)





Conklin teaches a method for processing network accounting information, comprising receiving accounting information over a packet-switched network, monitoring at least one aspect of the received accounting information, and discarding at least a portion of the accounting information that occurs during a surge in network traffic, based on the monitored aspect. For example, network traffic measurement and monitoring for reporting information about captured packets reflecting activities of intrusions and detecting intrusions into the network and into computers connected to the network for denial of services [see Abstract and Figs. 6-9 and Col. 1, Line 10 - Col. 2, Line 4 and Col. 5, Line 22 to Col. 6, Line 43]. With respect to Conklin, Conklin teaches capabilities of monitoring network intrusions in real-time [see Abstract] and logging monitoring events for appropriate response activities against intrusions such as restoring lost data, removing unauthorized programs, or disconnecting the system from the network temporarily [see Col. 6, Lines 40-43] and therefor discarding at least a portion of the accounting information that occurs during a surge in network traffic [see Figs. 6-8 and Col. 5, Line 22 to Col. 6, Line 43 and Col. 7, Line 45 to Col. 8, Line 20], based on the monitored aspect as claimed by applicant.

In addition, Conklin teaches monitoring a rate of receipt of the accounting information and whether the rate of receipt of the accounting information exceeds a predetermined amount. For example, Conklin teaches monitoring and collecting network data such as traffic over time and if network traffic is deemed outside of normal tolerances for measured characteristics, the the intrusion detection will activate the alert notification, evidence logging and incident analyzer reporter [see Figs. 6-8 and Col. 4, Line 30 to Col. 5, Line 9].

Therefore, the examiner asserts that Conklin teaches or suggests the subject matter broadly recited in independent claims 1, 11 and 20-22. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Conklin in view of Savoldi and further in view of Trcka. Claims 2-10 and 12-19 are also rejected at least by virtue of their dependency on independent claims. Accordingly, claims 1-23 are respectfully rejected (see Paper No. 10)